



ELSEVIER

Linear Algebra and its Applications 338 (2001) 239–244

---



---

**LINEAR ALGEBRA  
AND ITS  
APPLICATIONS**


---



---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)

# The numerical range of elementary operators II

Ameur Seddik

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Sana'a, P.O. Box 14026, Sana'a, Yemen*

Received 21 March 2001; accepted 23 May 2001

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

---

## Abstract

For  $A, B \in L(H)$  (the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space  $H$ ), it is proved that: (i) the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  is convexoid if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are convexoid; (ii) the operators  $\delta_{A,B}$  and  $\delta_{A,B}|_{\mathcal{C}_p}$  (where  $p \geq 1$ ) have the same numerical range and are equal to  $W_0(A) - W_0(B)$  (where  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is the Banach space of the  $p$ -Schatten class operators on  $H$ ). © 2001 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Hilbert space; Bounded linear operator; Generalized derivation; Elementary operator; Numerical range

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $L(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . All operators considered here acting on  $H$  are in  $L(H)$ .

If  $\Omega$  is a unital complex Banach algebra and  $A \in \Omega$ , then we design by  $\sigma(A)$ ,  $r(A)$  and  $W_0(A)$ , respectively, the spectrum, the spectral radius and the numerical range of  $A$ .

For  $p \geq 1$ , we design by  $(\mathcal{C}_p(H), \|\cdot\|_p)$  the Banach space of the  $p$ -Schatten class operators on  $H$ .

We denote by  $\text{tr}$ , the trace map on  $\mathcal{C}_1(H)$ .

For  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$ ,  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be two  $n$ -tuples of operators on  $H$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we define:

(1) the elementary operator  $R(A, B)$  on  $L(H)$  by

$$R(A, B)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i,$$

---

*E-mail address:* [seddik.ameur@caramail.com](mailto:seddik.ameur@caramail.com) (A. Seddik).

(2) the elementary operator  $R_p(A, B)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_p(H)$  by

$$R_p(A, B)(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X B_i,$$

(3) the joint spacial numerical range  $W(A)$  of  $A$  by

$$W(A) = \{(\langle A_1 x, x \rangle, \dots, \langle A_n x, x \rangle) : \|x\| = 1\},$$

(4) the subset  $W(A) \circ W(B)$  of  $C$  by

$$W(A) \circ W(B) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i : (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in W(A), (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in W(B) \right\}.$$

For  $A, B \in L(H)$ , we define the particular elementary operator on  $L(H)$ :

(5) the left multiplication operator by

$$\forall X \in L(H): L_A(X) = AX,$$

(6) the right multiplication operator by

$$\forall X \in L(H): R_B(X) = XB,$$

(7) the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B} = L_A - R_B$  induced by  $A, B$ .

(8) the elementary multiplication operator  $\mathcal{M}(A, B) = L_A R_B$  induced by  $A, B$ .

For  $A, B \in L(H)$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we can also define the particular elementary operators  $L_A|_{\mathcal{C}_p}$ ,  $R_B|_{\mathcal{C}_p}$ ,  $\delta_{A,B}|_{\mathcal{C}_p}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_p(A, B)$  on  $\mathcal{C}_p(H)$  by

$$\begin{cases} (L_A|_{\mathcal{C}_p})(X) = L_A(X), \\ (R_B|_{\mathcal{C}_p})(X) = R_B(X), \\ (\delta_{A,B}|_{\mathcal{C}_p})(X) = \delta_{A,B}(X), \\ \mathcal{M}_p(A, B)(X) = \mathcal{M}(A, B)(X). \end{cases}$$

For  $x, y \in L(H)$ , we define the operator  $(x \otimes y)$  on  $H$  by

$$\forall z \in H: (x \otimes y)(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x.$$

If  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we denote by  $\Gamma^-$  the closure of  $\Gamma$  and by  $\text{co } \Gamma$  the convex hull of  $\Gamma$ .

In [1, Proposition 2.2], Bouali and Charles proved that if for  $A, B \in L(H)$  such that  $\|A - \lambda\| = r(A - \lambda)$ ,  $\|B - \lambda\| = r(B - \lambda)$  for all complex  $\lambda$ , then  $\delta_{A,B}$  is convexoid.

In [3], it is proved that:

- (i) For  $A, B$  be two  $n$ -tuples of operators on  $H$ :  $\text{co}(W(A) \circ W(B))^- \subset W_0(R(A, B))$ .
- (ii) For  $A, B \in L(H)$ :  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}) = W_0(A) - W_0(B)$ .

The reader will find in the second part of this paper a reformulation of known results concerning the numerical range of these operators.

In the third part, we prove that  $\delta_{A,B}$  is convexoid if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are convexoid.

In the fourth part, we prove also that results (i) and (ii) stay true, if we replace  $R(A, B)$  and  $\delta_{A,B}$ , respectively, by  $R_p(A, B)$  and  $\delta_{A,B}|_{\mathcal{C}_p}$  for all  $p \geq 1$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a complex Banach algebra with identity  $I$ .

(1) The set of states on  $\Omega$  is by definition

$$P(\Omega) = \{f \in \Omega^* : f(I) = \|f\| = 1\}.$$

(2) The numerical range of an element  $A$  in  $\Omega$  is by definition the set

$$W_0(A) = \{f(A) : f \in P(\Omega)\}.$$

(3) An element  $A$  in  $\Omega$  is called convexoid if  $W_0(A) = \text{co } \sigma(A)$ .

(4) The usual numerical range of  $A \in L(H)$  is by the definition the set

$$W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}.$$

**Theorem 2.1** [4, Theorem 1]. *If  $A \in \Omega$ , then  $W_0(A)$  is a convex compact set and contains  $\sigma(A)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** [4, Theorem 6]. *If  $A \in L(H)$ , then  $W_0(A) = W(A)^-$ .*

**Theorem 2.3** [5]. *If  $A \in \Omega$  and  $\|A - \lambda\| = r(A - \lambda)$  for all complex  $\lambda$ , then  $A$  is convexoid.*

**Theorem 2.4** [3, Theorem 1]. *If  $A, B$  are two  $n$ -tuples of operators on  $H$ , then  $\text{co}(W(A) \circ W(B))^- \subset W_0(R(A, B))$ .*

**Theorem 2.5** [3, Theorem 2]. *If  $A, B \in L(H)$ , then  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}) = W_0(A) - W_0(B)$ .*

## 3. The convexoid generalized derivation

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then  $\delta_{A,B}$  is convexoid if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are convexoid.*

The proof of this theorem results from the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $M, N$  and  $K$  be three convex compact subsets of  $C$ .*

- (i) *If  $M + N \subset M + K$ , then  $N \subset K$ .*
- (ii) *If  $M + N = M + K$ , then  $N = K$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $a$  in  $N$  and choose  $b_1$  in  $M$ . Then there exist  $b_2$  in  $M$  and  $c_1$  in  $K$  such that  $a + b_1 = b_2 + c_1$ . By the same, since  $a + b_2 \in M + K$ , then we can also choose  $b_3$  in  $M$  and  $c_2$  in  $K$  such that  $a + b_2 = b_3 + c_2$ . Then by induction, we can construct a sequence  $(b_n)$  in  $M$  and a sequence  $(c_n)$  in  $K$  such that

$$a + b_n = b_{n+1} + c_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

So we obtain

$$na + b_1 = (c_1 + \cdots + c_n) + b_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and also

$$a = \frac{1}{n}(c_1 + \cdots + c_n) + \frac{1}{n}(b_{n+1} - b_1), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since  $K$  is convex and  $M$  is bounded,

$$\frac{1}{n}(c_1 + \cdots + c_n) \in K \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}(b_{n+1} - b_1) = 0.$$

It follows that  $a \in K$ , since  $K$  is closed.

(ii) Follows immediately from (i).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M, N, K$  and  $L$  be four convex compact subsets of  $C$ . If  $M + N = K + L$  and  $M \subset K, N \subset L$ , then  $M = K$  and  $N = L$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $K + N \subset M + N = K + L \subset K + N$ , then  $K + L = K + N$ .

It follows from Lemma 3.1,  $N = L$ ; and by the same,  $M = K$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Assume that  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}) = \text{co } \sigma(\delta_{A,B})$ ; since  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}) = W_0(A) - W_0(B)$ , by Theorem 2.5 and since  $\sigma(\delta_{A,B}) = \sigma(A) - \sigma(B)$ , by [2, Corollary 3.20], we have

$$W_0(A) - W_0(B) = \text{co}(\sigma(A) - \sigma(B)) = \text{co } \sigma(A) - \text{co } \sigma(B),$$

and since  $\text{co } \sigma(A), \text{co } \sigma(B), W_0(A)$  and  $W_0(B)$  are convex compact with  $\text{co } \sigma(A) \subset W_0(A), \text{co } \sigma(B) \subset W_0(B)$ , then by Lemma 3.2, we obtain  $W_0(A) = \text{co } \sigma(A)$  and  $W_0(B) = \text{co } \sigma(B)$ .

Now, if  $A$  and  $B$  are convexoid, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(\delta_{A,B}) &= W_0(A) - W_0(B) \\ &= \text{co } \sigma(A) - \text{co } \sigma(B) \\ &= \text{co}(\sigma(A) - \sigma(B)) \\ &= \text{co}(\sigma(\delta_{A,B})). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.1.** Theorem 3.1 gives a characterization of a convexoid generalized derivation, and also it is a generalization of [1, Proposition 2.2], by using Theorem 2.3. Note that Theorem 3.1 is false if we replace the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  by the elementary multiplication operator  $\mathcal{M}(A, B)$ .

Indeed, if  $A, B$  are two nonscalar self-adjoint operators, then, by [3, Theorem 3],  $W_0(\mathcal{M}(A, B))$  is not real, but  $\text{co } \sigma(\mathcal{M}(A, B)) = \text{co}(\sigma(A) \cdot \sigma(B))$  is.

#### 4. The numerical range of elementary operator acting on $\mathcal{C}_p(H)$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $n$ -tuples of operators on  $H$  and let  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $\text{co}(W(A) \circ W(B))^- \subset W_0(R_p(A, B))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x, y \in H$  such that  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ .

Define the map  $f$  on  $L(\mathcal{C}_p(H))$  by

$$\forall F \in L(\mathcal{C}_p(H)): f(F) = \text{tr}[(y \otimes x)F(x \otimes y)].$$

Since  $\|x \otimes y\|_p = \|x \otimes y\|_1 = \|x \otimes y\| = \|x\| \|y\| = 1$ , and since  $\|X\| \leq \|X\|_p$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{C}_p(H)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(F)| &\leq \|(y \otimes x)F(x \otimes y)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|x \otimes y\|_1 \|F(x \otimes y)\| \\ &\leq \|F(x \otimes y)\|_p \\ &\leq \|F\| \end{aligned}$$

and  $f(I) = 1$  so that  $f$  is a state on  $L(\mathcal{C}_p(H))$ ; and since

$$f(R_p(A, B)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i x, x \rangle \cdot \langle B_i y, y \rangle \in W_0(R_p(A, B)),$$

we obtain  $W(A) \circ W(B) \subset W_0(R_p(A, B))$ , and since  $W_0(R_p(A, B))$  is compact and convex, thus  $\text{co}(W(A) \circ W(B))^- \subset W_0(R_p(A, B))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $A \in L(H)$ . Then  $W_0(L_A|\mathcal{C}_p) = W_0(R_A|\mathcal{C}_p) = W_0(A)$ .*

**Proof.** The inclusions  $W_0(A) \subset W_0(L_A|\mathcal{C}_p)$ ,  $W_0(A) \subset W_0(R_A|\mathcal{C}_p)$  follow immediately from Theorems 4.1 and 2.2.

Now, let  $f$  be a state on  $L(\mathcal{C}_p(H))$  and we define the map  $g$  on  $L(H)$  by  $g(X) = f(L_X|\mathcal{C}_p)$ . By a simple computation, we find that  $g$  is a state on  $L(H)$  so that  $g(A) = f(L_A|\mathcal{C}_p) \in W_0(A)$ . Therefore  $W_0(L_A|\mathcal{C}_p) \subset W_0(A)$ . By the same, we find also  $W_0(R_A|\mathcal{C}_p) \subset W_0(A)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{C}_p) = W_0(\delta_{A,B})$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorems 4.1, 2.2 and Corollary 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(A) - W_0(B) &\subset W_0(\delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{C}_p) \subset W_0(L_A|\mathcal{C}_p) - W_0(R_B|\mathcal{C}_p) \\ &= W_0(A) - W_0(B). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Remark 4.1.** Corollary 4.2 is false if we replace the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  by the elementary multiplication operator  $\mathcal{M}(A, B)$ .

Indeed, if  $A, B$  are two nonscalar self-adjoint operators and  $p = 2$ , then  $W_0(\mathcal{M}(A, B))$  is not real but  $W_0(\mathcal{M}_2(A, B))$  is, because  $\mathcal{M}_2(A, B)$  is a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{C}_2(H)$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then  $\delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{C}_p$  is convexoid if and only if  $A$  and  $B$  are convexoid.*

**Proof.** Since  $W_0(\delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{C}_p) = W_0(\delta_{A,B})$  and  $\sigma(\delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{C}_p) = \sigma(\delta_{A,B})$ , the proof follows immediately if we use Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

## References

- [1] S. Bouali, J. Charles, Generalized derivation and numerical range, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* 63 (1997) 563–570.
- [2] P. Rosenblum, On the operator equation  $BX - XA = Q$ , *Duke Math. J.* 23 (1956) 263–269.
- [3] A. Seddik, The numerical range of elementary operator, *Integral Equation Operator Theory* (to appear).
- [4] J.G. Stampfli, J.P. Williams, Growth condition and the numerical range in a Banach algebra, *Tohoku Math. J.* 20 (1968) 417–424.
- [5] J.P. Williams, Finite operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (1970) 129–136.